2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION 5 CURTIS LEE HENDERSON, SR., Case No: C 07-02838 SBA 7 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND YING IN PART STIPULATED 8 VS. REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME 9 J. PETERSON, et al., Dkt. 180, 183, 190 10 Defendants. 11 **12** Having read and considered the parties' stipulated request for an order shortening time 13 on Defendants' motions to revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status and to bifurcate, 14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the request to shorten time is DENIED. To 15 expedite matters, however, the Court revises the briefing schedule specified in Civil Local Rule **16** 7-3 as follows: **17** 1. Any opposition to the motions shall be filed by no than June 7, 2011. Plaintiff 18 shall file a combined opposition addressing both motions which shall not to exceed ten (10) 19 pages. Defendants shall file a combined reply by no later than June 14, 2011, not to exceed 20 five (5) pages. 21 2. No hearing will be scheduled on the motions at this time. If the Court desires 22 oral argument, the Court will notify the parties. Otherwise, the Court will decide the motions 23 without oral argument, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 24 7-1(b). 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: May 20, 2011 27 United States District Judge

28